DoD Non-Lethal Weapons Program

Non-Lethal Weapons Human Effects

Dr. Shannon Foley Human Effects Scientist, Joint Non-Lethal Weapons Directorate

19 Nov 2014

http://jnlwp.defense.gov





DoD Instruction 3200.19 NLW Human Effects Characterization



- Established:
 - Policy, responsibilities, and procedures for HE characterization
 - Human Effects Review Board (HERB)
 - Independent DoD review board
 - Human Effects Readiness Level (HERL)
 - Measure of the availability, sufficiency, and maturity of data and information
 - Health care capability (HCC) indexes as a basis to determine Risk of Significant Injury (RSI)
 - Human Effects Officer responsible for NLW HE...processes...guidance... research...risk assessment
- Requires combat developers to establish acceptable RSI as KPP or KSA
- Focus on the human effect on NLW **targets**
- Signed May 17, 2012



- Human Effects: recognized as a critical issue in NLW development
- Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 3200.19 signed May 17, 2012
 - Effectiveness: The extent to which intended effect is achieved
 - **RSI:** The likelihood that a NLW system will cause a significant injury given the intended use



What is Non-Lethality?



Non-Lethal Weapons:

- Intended to "minimize the probability of producing fatalities, significant or permanent injuries"
- But... are <u>NOT</u> intended to "eliminate risk of those actions entirely" - DoD Directive 3000.03E

So the risk of producing "fatalities, significant or permanent injuries" does not have be zero. But what risk level is acceptable?



What Risk is Acceptable?



... it depends

- Combat developers determine the level of acceptable risk of fatalities, significant or permanent injuries for NLWs
- The risk has to be included as a <u>performance</u> <u>attribute</u> of a NLW in capabilities documents (e.g., CDDs, CPDs)
 DoD Instruction 3200.19

Is the acceptable risk value fixed for all NLWs? ... No

Like any other performance attribute it should depend on the mission, the intended use, etc.



What is Risk of Significant Injury?



- Probability a NLW system will cause a significant injury
 - Given intended use
- RSI values are usually given as a percentage
 - Frequency of the occurrence of a significant injury
- "Significant" injuries include:
 - a) Death
 - b) Permanent injuries
 - c) Injury requiring Health Care Capability indices 1 or 2



Permanent Injury



From DoDI 3200.19:

Permanent Injury:

Physical damage to a person that permanently impairs physiological function and restricts the employment or other activities of that person for the rest of his or her life



Health Care Capability Indices



	HCC 0	Limited First Responder Capability: Self- aid, Buddy Aid, and Combat Lifesaver Skills
Significant Injuries	HCC 1	First Responder Capability: Requiring Stabilization, and Emergency Care
	HCC2	Forward Resuscitation and Theater Hospitalization Capabilities: Advanced Emergency, Surgical, and Ancillary Services

- Derived from Joint Publication 04-02, Health Services Support, 31 Oct 2006



Attributes, Metrics & Methodology



- System Attribute: an inherent characteristic of the system
- Metric: a standard of measurement of the attribute
- Methodology: a set of methods, and rules used to measure the metric



A sample of injury outcomes from a NLW. This is an attribute of the system. What is the metric and methodology for <u>describing</u> this attribute?



Risk of Significant Injury



- RSI is the <u>metric</u> for the performance attribute associated with the risk of fatalities, significant or permanent injuries
- RSI is the <u>likelihood</u> of causing a significant injury which includes permanent injuries and fatalities.
 - DoD Instruction 3200.19

What about injuries that aren't permanent or don't cause death?

Health Care Capability Indices are used to draw the line for "significance"



RSI Measurement Example



Same injuries as before, now binned by HCC...



RSI = 8/40 = 20%



RSI as a System Attribute



 RSI value in a capabilities document may be one of the most constraining system attributes

• Determination of the acceptable RSI attribute should be a deliberative process

As a System Attribute, RSI should:

- Be assessed at the system level rather than the stimulus level
 E.g., safety features built into the system can reduce risk
- Account for intended use of the specific NLW system
 -E.g., point of aim, expected target population, concept of employment, etc



RSI Technical Working Group



Purpose:

• Provide a forum to establish consistent, systematic, and scientifically rigorous processes to evolve RSI calculation methodologies for non-lethal weapons.

Objectives:

- Develop methods to better characterize RSI
 - Improve RSI Accuracy
 - Provide guidance for RSI calculation standards
 - Develop Implementation Guidance
- Better communicate RSI to stakeholders
 - Assess methods for communicating certainty levels
 - Develop lay-person RSI educational material





Human Effects Modeling and Analysis Program (HEMAP)



Human Effects S&T Transition





HEMAP is the primary way human effects knowledge is transitioned to the NLW community



HEMAP Vision, Mission, & Scope



VISION:

NLE technology developers and the DoD T&E community are able to use human effects knowledge to improve technology design, establish trade spaces, and verify HE-related system attributes and mission effectiveness.

MISSION:

Utilize NL human effects research to develop a suite of human effects models that can be used to predict effects, effectiveness, and risk of significant injury.

SCOPE:

- Model development to include:
 - Empirical models (models of data)
 - Computational models
 - Instrumented test targets
- Model calibration
- ➢ Model V&V

OUT OF SCOPE:

- Model accreditation
- Conducting simulations
- Test target procurement



HEMAP Customers



- Technology/Material Developers
 - Evaluate trade offs of RSI and effectiveness with other system specifications.)
 - Assess RSI
 - Assess effectiveness of technology development and commercial products (can inform AoAs)
- Developmental Testers
 - Conduct developmental testing
 - "Did the technology do what it was designed to do?"
- Operational Testers
 - Conduct operational testing
 - "Did the material developer design the right thing?"

Stakeholders:

- Customers
- JNLWD
- AFRL
- HECOE



Advanced Total Body Model (ATBM)



OBJECTIVE:

• Assess human effects from kinetic energy non-lethal weapons.

DESCRIPTION:

- Models that analyze the delivery of KE NLW onto a target and the subsequent human effects.
- Characterize non-lethal stimuli
- Simulate Human Responses and Effects following delivery of stimuli and complex operational scenes
- Integrates research outcomes of models and data into application software and dose response tables

MODELS:

target

 Support for thorax, Abdomen, Head, Eye, Deterrence, Penetration, Projectile Impact Characterization, Projectile Delivery, Projectile Flight Parameters

 Propagation or dosage delivery models developed and included in software to determine intensity at

- UPCOMING ROADMAP:
- Lower Abdomen
- Pelvic/Reproductive
- Upper Spine
- Facial Bones
- Test Target Development

SERVICE LEAD: USAF AFRL HECOE SUPPORTED BY: JNLWD



Auditory



Burn Simulati	on (BURNSIM)	
Burn Depth	 OBJECTIVE: Assess injury to protected or unprotected skin from thermal hazards including radiative and convective heat. DESCRIPTION: Predict burn depth and gradation of thermal pain given heat flux incident to bare skin, directly or through clothing. Outputs depth at which thermal damage is achieved based upon intensity and duration of thermal insult. 	
MODELS:	UPCOMING ROADMAP:	
 Heat flux may be constant or varying (thermal pulse from flash bang) One-dimensional heat transfer model with 89 depth positions 25 microns apart Does not predict % area of body with certain level of thermal damage Does not predict probability of certain level of thermal damage 	 Interim Dose Response Thermal Pulse Multiple Exposures Pain and Discomfort SERVICE LEAD: USAF AFRL HECOE SUPPORTED BY: JNLWD	



Photostress Recovery Model (PREMO)



OBJECTIVE:

• Assess recovery of the human visual system after exposure to broadband or laser optical radiation.

DESCRIPTION:

- Evaluate visual effects resulting from intense flashes of light or bright lasers
- Trace propagation of light from source to eye
- Determine probability of recognition at discrete time points following exposure.
- Assesses glare and photostress recovery effects

MODELS:

- Models a simple (single spatial frequency) and complex (multiple spatial frequency) scene
- Models equivalent background scotoma
- Uses edge detection theory to predict probability of recognition of visual task
- Analyze suppressive effects to an observer following exposure to broadband or laser optical radiation.

UPCOMING ROADMAP:

- Complex Scene
- Multiple Flashes
- Glare
- Effect of Color
- Light and Sound

SERVICE LEAD: USAF AFRL HECOE SUPPORTED BY: JNLWD



🐞 Injury - Version 8.2

Species

Body Mass (Kg)

Start Time (ms)

Stop Time (ms)

tm Pressure (Kpa)

Severe

Slight

Trace

None

Moderat

Report Batch Run Sponsor Message

Parameters

Probability of Lung Injury

0.53

0.42

0.04

0.00

INJURY 8.2

Job Name Room

sheep 👻

42

101 325

-0.314074

217.269

Run

Blast (Injury)

Input Files

Severity Level

Done



OBJECTIVE:

• Predict the severity and probability of lung injury from blast overpressure of an explosion.

DESCRIPTION:

- Represents thorax response under impulsive loading
- Whole thorax lung injury finite element model
- Inputs are pressure traces recorded at chest, back, and right/left sides

MODELS:

- Standard US Army blast injury model through MRMC
- Takes into account tissue properties for chest wall, heart, lung, and diaphragm
- Calibrated using human cadaver impact studies

UPCOMING ROADMAP:

- Lung and Lethality
- Trachea Injury
- Test Target with Pressure, Sound, Heat Flux, and Ocular Sensors

SERVICE LEAD: USAF AFRL HECOE SUPPORTED BY: JNLWD



Fragment Penetration Injury Model (FPIM)



OBJECTIVE:

• Predicting penetration occurrence of fragments and a stochastic dispersion module for predicting fragment terminal velocity and density of fragments.

DESCRIPTION:

- Determines the velocity corresponding to a 50% probability of penetration
- Determine fragment trajectory and impact velocity versus range
- Trajectory module can also be used to determine blunt impactor trajectory and impact velocity

Number of fragments predicted to land in area around detonation

Distance from detonation in aim direction (m)

MODELS:

- Finite element models based on ballistic gelatin penetration tests
- Lack of human data

Transverse Distance from Detonation (m)

- Does not model multiple fragments in the same location
- Does not predict final penetration depth and penetration injury – only whether fragment fully penetrates skin

SERVICE LEAD: USAF AFRL HECOE SUPPORTED BY: JNLWD



Broadband Hazard Analysis Library

THE STATES OF ALLOW	
Construction of the second secon	 Assess ocular and skin hazards from broadband optical emitters
Main Equipant Time Readarce Dum Tatis Fielder 2.6405H=65 mil 3.5775e=60 W/dm12/w 5.7025i=11 W/dm12/w Extended Extended	DESCRIPTION:
V to the set of the se	• Exceeding thresholds represents a risk of significant injury to exposed eyes and skin of subjects in and around target area
Effective Expanse Index Nar-Sati Expanse Tree Heared Distance	• Desktop analysis tool implemented to automate the process of determining whether or not broadband optical radiation exceeds occupational safety thresholds
Wavelength (nm) Security Properties Academon of source-related properties	 If threshold is exceeded, further analysis is completed in BTEC.
MODELS:	UPCOMING ROADMAP:
 First filter used to assess ocular hazards, 	Update to 2013 ACGIH TLVs Standards
 Utilizes guidelines developed from the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists' 	Integrate LHAZ software
(ACGIH) Threshold Limit Values (TLVs) and Biological Exposure Indices (BEIs) Guidelines	SERVICE LEAD: USAF AFRL HECOE
 Does not consider environmental conditions, clothing, shadowing effects. 	SUPPORTED BY: JNLWD
 Does not consider age, size, health of targeted individuals. 	



HEMAP Focus Areas



- Directed energy (RF/Laser) thermal injury (ocular and skin) and effects prediction models
 - Laser dazzlers
 - Active Denial Technology (95GHz)
 - Thermal laser effects
 - Laser induced plasmas
- Human Electromuscular Incapacitation
- Instrumented Test Targets and Surrogates
- Incorporation of conditional probability predictions in all models



Human Effects Review Board



- Independent DoD Review
- Service SG & Safety Reps
- Advises program managers & milestone decision authorities (MDAs):
 - Quality and completeness of human effects information
 - Identifies potential human effects risks
 - Provides recommendations for further research & considerations to mitigate these risks

* THEEP: Target Human Effects Evaluation Plan



Current NLW Human Effects Partners



- Air Force Research Lab (AFRL)
- Institute for Defense Analyses (IDA/FFRDC)
- Navy Medical Research Unit (NAMRU)
- General Dynamics
- Applied Research Lab; Penn State University
- L-3 Communications
- Army Medical Research and Materiel Cmd (MRMC)
- Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC) Dahlgren





Questions?